

DRAFT

MEETING MINUTES

Project: S.R. 322, Section 100
Delaware County
Project Advisory Committee Meeting #11
benesch Project No. 7855.02

Date: November 15, 2007 (7:00 PM)

Place: Upper Chichester Township Municipal Building

Attendees: See attached sign-in sheet

A regularly scheduled Project Advisory Committee (PAC) meeting was held to review selected issues identified in the attached agenda. The major discussion points of the meeting included:

General

1. Mr. Eagan reviewed the previously distributed June 21, 2007 meeting minutes and asked for comments. There were comments and the PAC approved the minutes.
2. The next PAC meeting was scheduled for February 21, 2008 at the Upper Chichester Township Building (7 PM).

Presentation on TIP Update Process

3. Ms. Elizabeth Schoonmaker of the Delaware Valley Regional Planning Commission (DVRPC) gave a PowerPoint presentation, with handouts, on the process to update the Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) for the Delaware Valley. As the Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO), DVRPC is responsible for updating the TIP every two years and for maintaining it during the two year period. The TIP is a four year program based on the federal fiscal year and lists all projects that intend to use federal funds. The FY 09 update is underway now. The TIP is financially constrained and DVRPC had to defer approximately \$200M in projects this past fiscal year. The FY07 TIP includes almost 500 highway projects statewide totaling \$1.5 billion. A public comment period on the current draft TIP will be scheduled for May, 2008. For tracking purposes, the S.R. 322, Section 100 projects are listed on the TIP with the following MPMS numbers: 69815 (Section MIT), 69816 (Section 101), and 69817 (Section 102).

PAC Issues:

- None pertaining to the S.R. 322 project.

Section 101 Noise Analysis Results

4. Mr. Alan Dunay, Skelly & Loy, Inc. presented the results of the Section 101 Final Design Noise Analysis. He explained the warranted, feasible and reasonable criteria for determining when a sound barrier will be considered for a Noise Sensitive Area (NSA). He also explained the results of the analysis for NSA 5, NSA 7 and NSA 11. These three NSA's were considered for sound barriers during the preliminary design phase of the project. Letters have sent to the affected property owners regarding the results of the noise analysis.

- **NSA 5**

A sound barrier is warranted, feasible and reasonable for this neighborhood. A meeting with the neighborhood is scheduled for December 13, 2007 to discuss the barrier and offer the residents the option of the barrier and the surface treatment preferred for the residential side of the barrier if the residents want the barrier.

- **NSA 7**

A sound barrier is not feasible for this neighborhood since a barrier would not prove effective in reducing the noise level by the required 5 dBA at a majority of the noise-impacted residences.

- **NSA 11**

A feasible sound barrier could not be designed for the noise impacted residence at 12 Clayton Park Drive. With the displacement of two noise impacted residences in this neighborhood (5 and 11 Green Creek Lane), a cost-effective noise barrier could not be designed that would provide the required 5 dBA noise reduction for the remaining noise impacted residence (15 Green Creek Lane).

PAC Issues:

- Ms. DeNenno asked whether the analysis would be updated because of planned new homes in the neighborhood of NSA 5. Mr. Dunay said no because the analysis is based on the existing development at the time of the Environmental Document for the project.
- Mr. Dunay indicated the maximum cost effective height of a sound barrier is generally 20 feet.
- Ms. Lizza requested an update on property specific right-of-way impacts.

S.R. 322 Sections MIT, 101 & 102 General Project Update

5. Mr. Roth summarized the project progress since the June, 2007 PAC meeting. Highlights include:
- **Section MIT:**
 - **Wetlands** – Approval of the wetland mitigation site is still outstanding. Archaeological investigations are being done on the wetland mitigation site.
 - **Stream Mitigation** – PENNDOT is still negotiating with the resource agencies regarding the stream mitigation proposal.
 - **Maintenance Facility** – PENNDOT is reviewing the maintenance facility relocation.

 - **Section 101:**
 - **Right-of-Way Status** – The right-of-way acquisition consultant is progressing with their activities
 - **NPDES II/PCSM** – A meeting was held with the Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Resources (PADEP) and the Delaware County Conservation District (DCCD) to review permitting issues.
 - **Storm Water Management/Drainage Reports** – Concurrence from Concord Township and Bethel Township on the SWM and drainage conveyance reports is needed to submit for permits. Letters were recently sent to the Townships asking for concurrence.
 - **Emergency Access Across Median** – Mr. Roth briefed the PAC on some options he will review with PENNDOT.

 - **Section 102:**
 - **Bethel Road Cul-de-sac between Chelsea Parkway and Cherry Tree Road (north side)** – Upper Chichester Township is in favor of the cul-de-sac.
 - **Garnet Mine Road Disconnect** – PENNDOT has reviewed the requested disconnection of Garnet Mine Road from SR 322 and has determined it can't be done until the SR 322 Improvement Project is constructed because the eastbound SR 322 movement to Foulk Road would essentially be eliminated for trucks. The replacement movement would not accommodate truck movements. PENNDOT will be sending Bethel Township a letter on this matter.
 - **Green Glen Drive service road** – Design for this service road has begun.
 - **Market Street service road** – PENNDOT is evaluating alternatives to the service road proposed in preliminary design in the southeast quadrant of the SR 322/Market Street interchange from the intersection of Market Street and Meetinghouse Road to the east. The service road will provide access to properties fronting along SR 322 and the eastbound on ramp from northbound Market Street.

- **General Project:**
 - **Project Website** – Mr. Roth noted a project website is being developed and is expected to be available to the public by the end of the year.

PAC Issues:

- Mr. Torrieri suggested emergency access be provided to the Green Glen Drive service road from SR 322.

General Questions/Issues from the PAC Members

6. Mr. Roth responded to questions as follows:
 - Ms. Zenuk asked about the status of Upper Chichester Township's request for emergency access in place of the Flora Lane intersection. Mr. Roth stated PENNDOT is considering the request.
 - Ms. Zenuk indicated the Twin Oaks neighborhood would like the Story Road intersection with SR 322 closed. Mr. Roth noted that closure would be a township action.
 - Mr. Roth confirmed PENNDOT intends to maintain the exit for eastbound SR 322 to Bethel Road/Twin Oaks as part of the I-95/US 322 interchange project
 - Ms. Lizza expressed her desire that PENNDOT address existing safety issues now, not wait for the project to address them.
 - Mr. Groer requested that Detention Basin B6 on Mr. Henrich's property be moved to the other side of the road. Mr. Roth noted he met with Mr. Henrich, and alternatives to the basin location are being considered.

All attendees are requested to review the above minutes for corrections and/or comments. If no comments or corrections are received during the next PAC meeting, these minutes will become the basis for all official action.

Respectfully submitted,

alfred benesch & company

John F. Eagan, P.E.
S.R. 322-100 P.A.C. Facilitator

Mark C. Roth, P.E.
Senior Project Manager

JFE

cc: All PAC members
All attendees

**PENNSYLVANIA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION
ENGINEERING DISTRICT 6-0**

S.R. 0322, SECTION 100 DELAWARE COUNTY

**PROJECT ADVISORY COMMITTEE MEETING #11
November 15, 2007
SIGN-IN SHEET**

alfred benesch & company PROJECT No. 7855.02

PAC MEMBER	REPRESENTING	INITIAL (if present)
Dom Cappelli	Concord Township	
Virginia DeNenno	Concord Township Planning Commission	X
Michael George	Bethel Township	
Al Groer	Bethel Township Planning Commission	X
Sharon Hannum	Bethel Township Supervisor	
John Heyburn	Concord Township	
Bob Little	Concord Township	
Judy Lizza	Bethel Township	X
Wayne Martin	Upper Chichester Township	
Robert McLarnon	Bethel Township	
Jon Peri	Bethel Township	
John Pickett	Delaware County Planning Commission	
Dominic A. Pileggi	Concord Township Supervisor	
Norwan Powell	Bethel Township	
James Racz	Concord Township	X
Jim Stewart	Upper Chichester Township	X
George Swayngim	Upper Chichester Township	
Frank Toth	Upper Chichester Township	
Sarita Trivedi	Concord Township Planning Commission	
Beth Zenuk	Upper Chichester Township	X

DESIGN TEAM MEMBER	REPRESENTING	INITIAL (if present)
Peter Berthold	PENNDOT District 6-0	
Tim Stevenson	PENNDOT District 6-0	
Dave Scott	PENNDOT District 6-0	
Michael Hummel	alfred benesch & company	
Mark Roth	alfred benesch & company	X
John Eagan	alfred benesch & company	X
Paul McNemar	alfred benesch & company	

ALTERNATE PAC MEMBER	REPRESENTING	INITIAL (if present)
John Atkinson	Bethel Township	
Sheridan Eriksen	Upper Chichester Township	
Michael Kirlin	Concord Township	
Frank Lamanna	Concord Township	
Tom Shaffer	Delaware County Planning Commission	
Richard Slater	Upper Chichester Township / Excelsior Village	
Ken Laaken, Jr.	Bethel Township	X
Lou Torrieri	Bethel Township	X
Lou DeFeo	Bethel Township	

NAME (Please Print)	REPRESENTING
Elizabeth Schoonmaker	DVRPC
Alan Dunay	Skelly & Loy, Inc.
Justin Dula	Delaware County Planning Department
Eric McGlade	Upper Chichester Township
John D. Small	356 Conchester Rd.
Tom Brazill	Chichester Business Association